1	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	BENJAMIN P. SMITH (pro hac vice) JOHN A. POLITO (pro hac vice)
3	Las Vegas, NV 89101	SHARON R. SMITH (pro hac vice)
3	Telephone: 702.382.7300	One Market, Spear Street Tower
4	Facsimile: 702.382.2755	San Francisco, CA 94105
5	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.442.1000 Facsimile: 415.442.1001
J	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	benjamin.smith@morganlewis.com
6	WILLIAM ISAACSON (pro hac vice)	john.polito@morganlewis.com
7	KAREN DUNN (pro hac vice)	sharon.smith@morganlewis.com
,	1401 New York Avenue, NW, 11th Floor	Ç
8	Washington, DC 20005	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)
9	Telephone: 202.237.2727	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)
9	Facsimile: 202.237.6131	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)
10	wisaacson@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION
	kdunn@bsfllp.com	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070
11	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	Telephone: 650.506.4846
12	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: 650.506.7114
	BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON	dorian.daley@oracle.com
13	(pro hac vice)	deborah.miller@oracle.com
14	44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor	jim.maroulis@oracle.com
14	San Francisco, CA 94104	
15	Telephone: 415.293.6800	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
16	Facsimile: 415.293.6899	Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle
16	sholtzman@bsfllp.com brichardson@bsfllp.com	International Corp.
<b>17</b>	offenandson@osimp.com	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OI	FNEVADA
19		
20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-VCF
	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	
21	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF ORACLE'S MOTION
22	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES ON
••	Plaintiffs,	APPEAL, DECLARATIONS OF JOHN
23	v.	A. POLITO, KATHLEEN R.
24		HARTNETT, PAUL D. CLEMENT,
	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	JAMES C. MAROULIS, AND
25	SETH RAVIN, an individual,	CERTAIN ACCOMPANYING
26	Defendants.	EXHIBITS
	_ =====================================	
27		_

28

1	Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents
2	entered by the Court on May 21, 2010, ECF No. 55 ("Protective Order"), and Rules 5.2 and
3	26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc.,
4	and Oracle International Corporation (collectively "Oracle") respectfully request that the Court
5	order the Clerk of the Court to file under seal certain portions of (1) Oracle's Motion for
6	Attorneys' Fees on Appeal, (2) the Declarations of John A. Polito ("Polito Declaration"),
7	Kathleen R. Hartnett ("Hartnett Declaration"), Paul D. Clement ("Clement Declaration"), and
8	James C. Maroulis ("Maroulis Declaration"), as well as Exhibits 1-2 to the Polito, Hartnett, and
9	Clement Declarations in their entirety. These portions of Oracle's Motion reflect information
10	that Oracle has designated "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only" under
11	the Protective Order. Public, redacted versions of Oracle's Motion, Polito Declaration, Hartnett
12	Declaration, Clement Declaration, and Maroulis Declaration, were filed on September 20, 2019,
13	see ECF Nos. 1265, 1266, 1267, 1268, and 1269. Versions of these documents without
14	redactions will be subsequently filed under seal with the Court and linked to the filing of this
15	Motion. "'[G]ood cause' suffices to warrant preserving the secrecy of sealed discovery material
16	attached to nondispositive motions." Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122,
17	1135 (9th Cir. 2003).
18	The Protective Order states, "Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any
19	Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information –
20	Attorneys' Eyes Only' under the terms of this Protective Order only if such counsel in good
21	faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to
22	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating
23	Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential
24	Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the
25	Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective
26	Order ¶ 2 (emphasis supplied).
27	

28

## DOCUMENTS DESIGNATED BY ORACLE AS CONFIDENTIAL

Oracle has designated Exhibits 1-2 to the Polito Declaration, Exhibits 1-2 to the Hartnett Declaration, and Exhibits 1-2 to the Clement Declaration, as well as certain portions of Oracle's the Polito, Hartnett, Clement, and Maroulis Declarations as Confidential. Oracle requests that the Court order the Clerk of the Court to file those exhibits and portions under seal, as well as certain portions of Oracle's Motion for Attorneys' Fees on Appeal that reflect the information contained in the aforementioned exhibits. The "Confidential" designation indicates that, in Oracle's best judgment, the documents contains "non-public information or matter related to: financial or business plans or projections; . . . current or future business and marketing information, plans, and strategies; studies or analyses by internal or outside experts; customer information, data or lists; . . . competitive analyses; . . . or other commercially or personally sensitive or proprietary information." Protective Order ¶ 3. In particular, portions of Oracle's Motion for Attorneys' Fees on Appeal; the Polito, Hartnett, Clement, and Maroulis Declarations, and the accompanying respective Exhibits 1-2, contain confidential competitive information of negotiated billing rates between Oracle and its attorneys, and sensitive, case-specific pricing information. Further, the documents reveal the details of Oracle's relationships with these companies, and the terms of their agreements, which are also negotiated. These negotiated billing rates and agreement terms are commercially sensitive and should not be publically disclosed insofar as any such disclosure would create a competitive disadvantage. Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1221 (Fed. Cir. 2013) ("One factor that weighs in favor of sealing documents is when the release of the documents will cause competitive harm to a business.") Disclosure of this confidential information could interfere with Oracle's current or future business relationships or plans. Thus, there is a compelling interest for this information to be sealed. Oracle has submitted all other portions of Oracle's Motion for Attorneys' Fees on Appeal, the declaration of John A. Polito and Exhibits 3-5 thereto, and the declarations of Kathleen R. Hartnett, Paul D. Clement, and James C. Maroulis, to the Court's public files, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

**10** 

11

12

13

14

**15** 

16

**17** 

18

19

**20** 

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	allow public access to all materials except for the items discussed above. Accordingly, the		
2	request to seal is narrowly tailored.		
3	For the foregoing reasons, Oracle respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file		
4	under seal the documents discussed abov	e.	
5			
6	DATED: September 20, 2019	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
7		By:/s/ John A. Polito	
8		John A. Polito Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9		Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp.	
10		und Gruete International Corp.	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
<b>28</b>		3	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I hereby certify that on the 20th day of September 2019, I electronically transmitted the		
3	foregoing ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF ORACLE'S MOTION FOR		
4	ATTORNEYS' FEES ON APPEAL, DECLARATIONS OF JOHN A. POLITO,		
5	KATHLEEN R. HARTNETT, PAUL D. CLEMENT, JAMES C. MAROULIS, AND		
6	CERTAIN ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System		
7	for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all counsel in this matter; all counsel		
8	being registered to receive Electronic F	Filing.	
9			
10	Dated: September 20, 2019	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
11			
12		By: /s/ John A. Polito	
13		John A. Polito	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and	
15		Oracle International Corporation	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)	BENJAMIN P. SMITH (pro hac vice)
2	300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	JOHN A. POLITO (pro hac vice)
3	Las Vegas, NV 89101	SHARON R. SMITH (pro hac vice)
3	Telephone: 702.382.7300	One Market, Spear Street Tower
4	Facsimile: 702.382.2755	San Francisco, CA 94105
	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.442.1000
5	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	Facsimile: 415.442.1001
	WILLIAM ISAACSON (pro hac vice)	benjamin.smith@morganlewis.com john.polito@morganlewis.com
6	KAREN DUNN (pro hac vice)	sharon.smith@morganlewis.com
7	1401 New York Avenue, NW, 11th Floor	Sharon.shirti e morganie wis.com
	Washington, DC 20005	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)
8	Telephone: (202) 237-2727	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)
	Facsimile: (202) 237-6131	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)
9	wisaacson@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION
10	kdunn@bsfllp.com	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
10		Redwood City, CA 94070
11	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	Telephone: 650.506.4846
	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: 650.506.7114
12	BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON	dorian.daley@oracle.com deborah.miller@oracle.com
13	(pro hac vice) 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor	jim.maroulis@oracle.com
13	San Francisco, CA 94104	Jim.marouns@oracle.com
14	Telephone: 415.293.6800	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
	Facsimile: 415.293.6899	Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle
15	sholtzman@bsfllp.com	International Corp.
16	brichardson@bsfllp.com	
10	Thympp and mpa	AGENTACE COLUMN
17	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
18	DISTRICT OF	FNEVADA
10		
19	ORACLE USA, INC.; a Colorado corporation;	Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-VCF
	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware	
20	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
21	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL
21	Plaintiffs,	PORTIONS OF ORACLE'S
22	V.	MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS'
		FEES ON APPEAL,
23	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	DECLARATION OF JOHN A.
24	and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	POLITO, KATHLEEN R.
24	Defendants.	HARTNETT, PAUL D. CLEMENT,
25		JAMES C. MAROULIS, AND CERTAIN ACCOMPANYING
		EXHIBITS
26		LAIIIDIIS
27		
<i>41</i>		
28		

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle 3 International Corporation's (collectively "Oracle") Motion to Seal Portions of Oracle's Motion 4 for Attorneys' Fees on Appeal ("Motion"), the Declarations of John A. Polito ("Polito 5 Declaration"), Kathleen R. Hartnett ("Hartnett Declaration"), Paul D. Clement ("Clement 6 Declaration"), James C. Maroulis ("Maroulis Declaration"), and certain accompanying exhibits. 7 See ECF Nos. 1265, 1266, 1267, 1268, and 1269. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides 8 broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection 9 of "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. 10 R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Oracle's Motion to Seal, and good cause existing: 11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Oracle's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of 12 the Court shall file under seal portions of Oracle's Motion, Polito Declaration, Hartnett 13 Declaration, Clement Declaration, and Maroulis Declaration, and Exhibits 1-2 to the Polito, 14 Hartnett, and Clement Declarations in their entirety. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 DATED: 18 Hon. Larry R. Hicks 19 United States District Judge 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1

[PROPOSED] ORDER